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## Motivations and Background

Mathematicians enjoy resolving singularities, because many nice properties and theorems only applies to nonsingular things. So comes the desire to classify singularities whenever we can.

Resolution of a singularity $x \in X$ means finding a proper birational map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ s.t. $Y$ is nonsingular and $f: Y \backslash f^{-1}(x) \rightarrow X \backslash x$ is an isomorphism. So $x$ is mapped by curves (Riemann surfaces) on the nonsingular surface $Y$.

Theorem (Abhyankar...)
The singularities of any surface can be resolved.

## Motivations and Background

## Theorem (Resolution of imbedded curve singularities, SH IV(4.1.1))

For any irreducible curve $C \subset X$ a nonsingular surface, there exists another surface $Y$ and a regular map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ s.t. $f$ is a composite of blow-ups and the birational transform of $C$ is nonsingular on $Y$.

## Theorem (HS V(5.5))

Let $T: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a birational transform of surfaces. Then $T$ can be factored into a finite sequence of monoidal tranformations (blow-ups at a point) and their inverses (blow-downs at a point).

Therefore we can classify a singularity by classifying the sequence of exceptional curves resulted from the blow-ups. To do so, we analyze them using the idea of "intersection numbers." And we can define them s.t. they agree with our intuition of intersections of curves (Riemann surfaces).

## Motivations and Background

On the other hand, we should only be concerned with the minimal resolution, which is a resolution where the blow-up steps do not produce unnecessary (contractible) exceptional curves.

## Theorem (from Castelnuovo's criterion)

All ( -1 )-curves can be contracted.
Here ( -1 )-curves refers to curves that are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with self intersection number -1 .

## Motivations and Background

The canonical classes of a surface holds important status, so we would like to leave them alone when resolving singularities

## Du Val Singularities

A point $x \in X$ of a normal surface is called a $D u$ Val singularity if there exists a minimal resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$ contracting curves $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{r}$ to $x$ s.t. $K_{Y} C_{i}=0$ for all $i$, where $K_{Y}$ is the canonical class of $Y$.

A Catch-22: classifying Du Val singularities leads us to the magical Dynkin Diagrams, so we would like to classify Du Val singularities.

## More Background

## Theorem (Contracted curves of a point, SH IV(4.2.2))

Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of the singularity $x$ on a surface $X$, where the inverse image of $x$ is $C_{1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{r}$. Then the matrix $\left\{C_{i} C_{j}\right\}$ is negative definite.

## Theorem (Adjunction Formula)

For any curve $C \subset X$, the canonical class $K_{X}$ of the surface and canonical class $K_{C}$ of the curve satisfies

$$
\operatorname{deg} K_{C}=C\left(C+K_{X}\right)
$$

## Theorem (Degree Genus Formula)

For any nonsingular curve $\bar{C}$ with genus $g(\bar{C})=g$, its canonical class $K_{\bar{C}}$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{deg} K_{\bar{C}}=2 g-2
$$

## More Background

## Properties of Blowing Up a surface at a point

If we have the blow up $X^{\prime}$ of a surface $X$ at a smooth point $x \in C \subset X$ where $C$ is a curve, then $\sigma: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ induces $\sigma^{\prime}: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ the birational (strict) transform of $C$ and $\sigma^{*}: C \rightarrow C^{*}$ the total transform of $C$. Below we let $L$ be the exceptional curve of the blow up, and we write the multiplicity of a point $x \in C \subset X$ as $\mu_{x}(C)=k$.
(1) $\sigma^{*}(C)=\sigma^{\prime}(C)+k L$
(2) $K_{X^{\prime}}=\sigma^{\prime}\left(K_{X}\right)+L$
(3) $\sigma^{*}(D) L=0 \quad \forall D \subset X$
(1) $\sigma^{*}\left(D_{1}\right) \cdot \sigma^{*}\left(D_{2}\right)=D_{1} D_{2} \quad \forall D_{i} \subset X$
(5) $L \sim \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $L^{2}=-1$

## Conclusions

Thus we can conclude

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{i}^{2} & =-2  \tag{1}\\
C_{i} C_{j} & =0 \text { or } 1 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since classifying Du Val singularities is equivalent to classifying $\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{r}$, the above relation shows that it is also equivalent to classifying the negative definite lattice $\mathbb{Z} e_{1}+\ldots+\mathbb{Z} e_{r}$ where $e_{i}^{2}=-2, e_{i} e_{j}>0$, since we have $C_{i} \sim e_{i}$.

Therefore Dynkin Diagrams

## Results

| Name | Equation |
| :--- | :--- |
| $A_{n}$ | $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{n+1}$ |
| $D_{n}$ | $x^{2}+y^{2} z+z^{n-1}$ |
| $E_{6}$ | $x^{2}+y^{3}+z^{4}$ |
| $E_{7}$ | $x^{2}+y^{3}+y z^{3}$ |
| $E_{8}$ | $x^{2}+y^{3}+z^{5}$ |
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## And drumrolls...

Now we can classify the Du Val singularities through some simple algebraic manipulations. First we have the following regarding resolution of embedded curve singularities.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg} K_{\sigma^{\prime}(C)} & =\sigma^{\prime}(C)\left(\sigma^{\prime}(C)+K_{X^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\left(\sigma^{*}(C)-k L\right)\left(\sigma^{*}(C)-k L+\sigma^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+L\right) \\
& =\sigma^{*}(C) \sigma^{*}(C)-k \sigma^{*}(C) L+\sigma^{*}(C) \sigma^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+\ldots \\
& \sigma^{*}(C) L-k \sigma^{*}(C) L-k \sigma^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) L+k(k-1) L^{2} \\
& =C^{2}-0+C K_{X}+0-0-0-k(k-1) \\
& =C\left(C+K_{X}\right)-k(k-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Still drumrolls...

Then applying the above to full sequence of resolution of a Du Val singularity gets us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg} K_{\bar{C}} & =\bar{C}\left(\bar{C}+K_{\bar{X}}\right) \\
2 g(\bar{C})-2 & =C\left(C+K_{X}\right)-\sum_{i} k_{i}\left(k_{i}-1\right) \\
\Rightarrow \quad 2+C\left(C+K_{X}\right) & =2 g(\bar{C})+\sum_{i} k_{i}\left(k_{i}-1\right) \geq 0 \\
\Rightarrow \quad C\left(C+K_{X}\right) & \geq 2 \\
\Rightarrow \quad C_{i}\left(C_{i}+K_{Y}\right) & =C_{i}^{2}+0 \geq 2 \\
\Rightarrow \quad C_{i}^{2} & =-2
\end{aligned}
$$

## Drum guy's hands are getting tired...

Last but not least, let's apply the theorem about contracted curves to our case. Let $\alpha=(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)^{T}$, the vector with identity on $i, j$ location and 0 otherwise. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{C_{i} C_{j}\right\} & \text { is negative definite } \\
\Rightarrow \quad b(\alpha, \alpha)=\alpha^{T}\left(C_{i} C_{j}\right) \alpha & <0 \\
\Rightarrow \quad\left(C_{i}+C_{j}\right)^{2} & <0 \\
C_{i}^{2}+C_{j}^{2}+2 C_{i} C_{j} & <0 \\
C_{i} C_{j} & <2 \\
\Rightarrow \quad C_{i} C_{j} & =0 \text { or } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

